2007-2012 Stormwater Capital Improvement Program

Stormwater Capital Improvement Program Involvement Team March 28, 2006

SCIPIT Meeting #1 Agenda

- Why are we here?
- Introduce Project Team and SCIPIT Members
- Admin (Roster, time, schedule, location and procedures)
- Review SCIPIT Notebooks
- SCIP Purpose and Process
- SCIP Funding/Funding Limitations
- SCIPIT Purpose and Process
- SCIP Public Involvement Program
- Criteria Work Session
- Project Submittal Forms
- Public Comment/Wrap Up

Why are we here?

SCIP Purpose and Process

- Identify projects
- Enumerate criteria
- Rank projects
- Program funds by phase over 6 year period
- Repeat yearly at inception and every 2 years thereafter



Introduce Project Team and SCIPIT Members

Administration

- SCIPIT Roster
- Meeting Time
 - 6:00 PM to 8:00 PM
 - 6:30 PM to 8:30 PM
 - Other
- Schedule

Administration

2006 Schedule

- SCIPIT Meeting #1: March 28th
- SCIPIT Meeting #2: May 9th
- BOCC Work Session: June 7th
- SCIPIT Meeting #3: June 20th
- SCIPIT Meeting #4: August 8th

SCIPIT meetings held at BOCC Training Room

Administration

Meeting Procedures

- Jim Gladson facilitates
- No Chair
- Operate by consensus
- No voting
- Advisory
- Meeting minutes
- BOCC to provide interim guidance to group and staff during process
- Public comment welcomed during time designated for public comment

SCIPIT Notebooks

- SCIPIT Roster
- Public Involvement/Schedule
- Goals/Purpose
- Meetings
- Initial Projects/Interim Ranking Criteria
- Watershed Maps



What is a Stormwater Capital Improvement Program?

- A comprehensive stormwater capital program for the ensuing 6 years
- Updated and refined yearly
- An effective priority process to allocate funds for Water Resources



Why have a SCIP?

- Rapid growth, limited funds, increased competition for funding and public interest suggest that priority programming necessary
- NPDES permit requires capital plan



Why have a SCIP?

- SCIP will identify and prioritize projects to improve watershed/stream conditions
- Enables the County to seek outside funding to leverage limited funding



Process

- Modeled on Clark County's
 Transportation Improvement Program
- Identify projects
 - Staff submittals
 - SCIPIT/Citizen submittals
 - Watershed assessment



Process

- Enumerate criteria
- Rank projects



Process

- Program funds by phase over 6 year period
- Repeat yearly at inception and every 2 years thereafter

SCIP Funding

Only funding to date from Clean Water fees

	Capital Resources Available	Capital Project Expenses	Resources Remaining
2005	\$ 9,935,250	\$ 731,670	\$ 9,203,580
2006	\$ 9,201,722	\$ 4,313,118	\$ 4,888,604
2007	\$ 5,779,230	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 3,779,230
2008	\$ 4,696,574	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,696,574
2009	\$ 3,641,439	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 1,641,439
2010	\$ 2,614,649	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 614,649
2011	\$ 1,617,056	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 617,056
2012	\$ 1,649,535	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 149,535

SCIP Funding

- Opportunities to leverage funds:
 - State/Federal grants
 - Partner with road construction projects
 - Partner with Parks projects
- New NPDES permit less restrictive



- Role of SCIPIT includes:
 - Project identification
 - Development of evaluation criteria
 - Development of project priority array
 - Review of draft SCIP
 - Final recommendation of SCIP to staff



- Composition of SCIPIT:
 - Citizen representatives
 - Clean Water Committee representatives
 - Environmental/preservation community representatives
 - County staff

SCIP Public Involvement

- Current activities:
 - SCIPIT Meetings
 - Newspapers, press releases
 - General mailing to neighborhood associations
 - Web site being developed
 - Email notification-County will develop an email roster for distribution of information

SCIP Public Involvement

- Future activities:
 - Speaker's Bureau
 - Public Open Houses
 - Interface with TIP and TIPIT public involvement
- SCIPIT suggestions:



Criteria Work Session

- Clean Water Commission Interim Criteria
- CWC ⇒ CWC/Staff Criteria
- CWC/Staff Criteria ⇒ SCIPIT Criteria
- What is important to public?



- Submitter's identifying information (optional)
- Location of problem (e.g. crossing street name, approximate distances, copy area of map and mark)
- Description of problem/deficiency
- Proposed corrective action

Submittal forms in notebook and on back table.

Wrap Up

- Questions
- Public Comment
- Next Meeting, May 9th